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The International National Trusts Organisation (INTO) is a network of national trusts and similar non-governmental organisations committed to preserving cultural heritage, "built and natural, tangible and intangible," including architecturally or historically significant items, and areas of natural beauty. INTO's mandate includes developing and promoting best practices, supporting existing national trust organisations, establishing new trusts, and advocating for heritage conservation. An offshore asset protection trust or international trust one of the strongest asset protection vehicles available when done right. Like the Panama Foundation, the international trust allows you to legally transfer your assets out of the reach of future creditors and place them behind a protective barrier that no one can pierce. The basis of the asset protection trust is simple enough: assets are conveyed out of your name and into the international trust. Trust is originally founded in 2004 as Hydra Trading, and in 2014. Read More. What we do. Trust is a major supplier of premium solutions to the UAE Armed Forces and security establishment. Read More. Our Business Approach. An International Trust, in its simplest form, is a trust registered in a jurisdiction with more favorable asset protection laws outside the venue where you reside. For U.S. individuals, it also means a trust - for U.S. tax purposes - that is U.S. tax neutral and typically not implemented as a foreign trust. Trust planning should always start with the end result in mind, and then integrate planning tools and techniques into the structure. The ultimate objective should drive the means.